Athlete's Heart

- Outline
 - Exercise-induced Cardiac Remodeling
 - Health vs. Disease
 - LV chamber enlargement
 - RV chamber enlargement
 - LV wall thickening






Historical Overview


1899: Initial observations by Henschen and Darling

- Cardiac enlargement by physical exam

100+ years of scientific study:









Cardiac Remodeling

Hemodynamic Stress of Sport













Pathophysiology of Disease











Cardiac Remodeling: Exercise

Endurance Activities (Isotonic)





Sustained ↑ CO

- 4 to 5 times rest
- ↑ ↑ ↑ HR & ↑ SV
- Vasodilation

Volume Challenge

Strength Activities (Isometric)






Repetitive SBP

- Systolic BP > 200 mmHg
- Skeletal Muscle Contraction
- Vasoconstriction

Pressure Challenge




FIGURE Classification of Sports



Levine et al JACC 2015

AMERICAN COLLEGE of CARDIOLOGY



Weiner & Baggish. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2012;54:380.



Determinants of Myocardial Adaptation

- Sporting discipline
- Gender
 - Females < males</p>
- Ethnicity
 - † wall thickness in Afro-Caribbean descent
- Genetics / Molecular pathways
- Exercise exposure duration and "dose"



Health vs. Disease

 Can we separate athletic remodeling from pathology?


 Yes, the majority of the time when a systematic approach is used.






Evaluation "Tool Kit"





LV Chamber Enlargement



Pelliccia et al. Ann Intern Med 1999;130:23.



LV Chamber Enlargement

Table 4 Echocardiographic findings from the study population of university athletes

Parameter	Male (n = 300)		Female (n = 197)	
	Normal (n = 209)	Physiologic remodeling (n = 91)	Normal (n = 178)	Physiologic remodeling (n = 19)
Structural parameters				
Interventricular septal thickness (mm)	9.8 ± 0.9	11.6 ± 0.5	$8.3 \pm 0.7^{\circ}$	10.6 ± 0.5
LV posterior wall thickness (mm)	10.0 + 1.2	11.8 ± 1.4	8.6 ± 1.1*	$10.7 \pm 0.7^{\dagger}$
LV inner dimension at end-diastole (mm)	51 ± 3	57 ± 5	42 ± 4*	54 ± 4 [†]
LA diameter (mm)	36 ± 4	40 ± 4	32 ± 3*	38 ± 4
RV end-diastolic diameter (mm)	30 ± 5	36 ± 3	28 ± 4*	33 ± 31
Functional parameters				
LV ejection fraction (%)	65 ± 7	58 ± 4	68 ± 6	64 ± 6 [†]
Transmitral E wave (cm/sec)	86 + 16	96 + 13	81 + 17	88 + 12

25% of US college athletes exceed gender recommended LVIDd limit



P < .05 for comparison with male athletes in the normal cardiac structure and function group.</p>

¹P < .05 for comparison with male athletes in the physiologic remodeling group.</p>

Physiologic LV Chamber Enlargement:

- Expected with endurance training.
- Accompanied by proportionate increase in wall thickening (Eccentric LVH).
- Accompanied by normal to low normal resting LVEF (~50%).
- TDI / Strain assessment with preserved or enhanced function.
- Accompanied by "other" chamber enlargement (RV, LA).
- LVIDd "cut-offs" are not helpful.
- When in doubt, exercise testing is very useful (confirm LV augmentation and document supranormal exercise capacity).



RV Chamber Enlargement




Figure 2 Range of values for RV inflow dimension in endurance athletes (n = 102).




Figure 3 Range of values for RV proximal outflow dimension in endurance athletes (n = 102).

Oxborough et al. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2012;25:263.




Physiologic RV Chamber Enlargement:

- Expected with endurance training.
- Global RV process without sacculation, aneurysmal dilation, segmental dysfunction, or fibrosis (?).
- RV dimensions "cut-offs" are not helpful.
- "Always" associated with LV remodeling (concomitant LV enlargement but no RVH).
- Accompanied by normal to low normal resting FAC / RVEF.
- TDI / Strain assessment with preserved or enhanced function.
- If in doubt, comprehensive exercise testing and rhythm monitoring.




Thick LV Walls

Adult Athletes



Pelliccia et al. N Engl J Med 1991.

Junior Athletes



Sharma et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002.

Least frequent, but most problematic



Thick LV Walls



Table 4 Echocardiographic findings from the study population of university athletes

Parameter	Male (n = 300)		Female (n = 197)	
	Normal (n = 209)	Physiologic remodeling (n = 91)	Normal (n = 178)	Physiologic remodeling (n = 19)
Structural parameters				
Interventricular septal thickness (mm)	9.8 ± 0.9	11.6 ± 0.5	8.3 ± 0.7*	$10.6 \pm 0.5^{\dagger}$
LV posterior wall thickness (mm)	10.0 ± 1.2	11.8 ± 1.4	8.6 ± 1.1*	10.7 ± 0.7 [†]
Ly inner dimension at eno-diastole (mm)	51 ± 3	57 ± 5	42 ± 4	54 ± 4
LA diameter (mm)	36 ± 4	40 ± 4	32 ± 3*	38 ± 4
RV end-diastolic diameter (mm)	30 ± 5	36 ± 3	28 ± 4*	33 ± 31
Functional parameters				
LV ejection fraction (%)	65 ± 7	58 ± 4	68 ± 6	64 ± 6 [†]
Transmitral F wave (cm/sec)	86 + 16	96 + 13	81 + 17	88 + 12

Not a single healthy college athlete with walls > 14 mm



^{*}P < .05 for comparison with male athletes in the normal cardiac structure and function group.

[!]P < .05 for comparison with male athletes in the physiologic remodeling group.</p>

Physiologic Thick LV Walls:

- Physiologic concentric LVH is symmetric without regional variation.
 - Marked asymmetry is pathology until proven otherwise.
- Wall thickness "cut-offs" are VERY helpful.
- Accurate absolute thicknesses >15 mm are pathologic until proven otherwise.
- E' values may be helpful, but not diagnostic
- Exercise testing (CPET) is a useful discriminator
- Detraining may be necessary to arrive at a final diagnosis.

This is the HCM mimicker



Other Areas of Study

- Atria
 - LA dilation: endurance > strength athletes

Iskander et al. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging, 2015.


- LA function: atrial strain and contraction
- Aorta
 - Sinus of Valsalva: 3.2 mm greater in athletes

Iskander et al. Circulation, 2013.



Future Directions

- Myocardial mechanics
 - LV strain, twist (regional function)
- Cardiac MRI



La Gerche et al. *Eur Heart J.* 2012; 33:998.



Summary









Key Differential Diagnosis

Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy Hypertensive heart disease Infiltrative heart disease Valvular heart disease

Clinical Factors c/w of Athlete's Heart

Strength training background No subjective symptoms Benign family history Normal subjective exercise capacity

Echo Findings c/w Athlete's Heart

Mild symmetric LVH (walls <15 mm) Normal RV dimensions Normal / mildly enlarged LA Normal aortic valve function Normal mitral valve anatomy

Additional Diagnostic Considerations

Exercise testing (VO, assessment) 24h ambulatory 8P monitor Cardiac MRI ? Prescribed detraining

Dilated LV Chamber



Key Differential Diagnosis

Idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy Toxic (ETOH, drugs) cardiomyopathy Infectious cardiomyopathy Cardiomyopathy 2* tachyarrhythmia

Clinical Factors c/w of Athlete's Heart

Endurance training background No subjective symptoms Benign family history No history of prior illness / substance abuse Normal subjective exercise capacity

Echo Findings c/w Athlete's Heart

Concomitant RV dilation Mild LV wall thickening Supra-normal LV diastolic indices Normal / mildly enlarged LA & RA

Additional Diagnostic Considerations

Exercise testing (VO, assessment) Ambulatory rhythm monitoring Cardiac MRI





Differential Diagnosis

Arrhythmogenic RV cardiomyopathy Idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy Pulmonary HTN / congenital heart disease Sarcoidosis Cardiomyopathy 2° tachyarrhythmia

Clinical Factors c/w of Athlete's Heart

Endurance training background No subjective symptoms Benign family history Normal subjective exercise capacity

Echo Findings c/w Athlete's Heart

Concomitant LV dilation Normal RV morphology Supra-normal LV diastolic indices Normal / mildly enlarged LA & RA Normal RV systolic pressure

Additional Diagnostic Considerations

Signal averaged ECG Exercise testing (VO₂ assessment) Ambulatory rhythm monitoring Cardiac MRI