

Grant Reviewer Scoring Rubric

FUNDING AGENCY – County-wide 0-5 years old focus (Revenue source includes tobacco tax dollars)
 RFP – Focus on community-based projects with academic and medical partners with the goal of being able to impact policy

The review framework does not necessarily correspond to the order of application materials. Reviewers should consider the entire application prior to completing this document. Please score all items or request staff guidance. Leaving items blank or marking N/A will handicap the applicant.

Key Areas for Review	Points
1. Degree to which applicant clearly defines policy goal, level of government and stage of development <i>(up to 10 points)</i>	
2. Alignment of project Goals with appropriate Tools/Activities <i>(up to 10 points)</i>	
3. Alignment of project Targets with Tools <i>(up to 10 points)</i>	
4. Alignment of project Timeline and Benchmarks against the goals and activities <i>(up to 10 points)</i>	
5. Degree to which applicant accurately assesses policy context and potential challenges <i>(up to 10 points)</i>	
6. Degree to which applicant has appropriate capacity and resources to execute project effectively <i>(up to 15 points)</i>	
7. Degree to which applicant will be able to leverage additional resources with or to complement the grant funding if awarded the grant <i>(up to 5 points)</i>	
8. Degree to which applicant will utilize partnerships and collaborations to execute project, including how well those relationships are developed and defined <i>(up to 10 points)</i>	
9. Non-traditional a. For Non-traditional applicants, degree to which relationship and plan with mentor agency is developed b. For Traditional applicants, degree to which there is a plan to work with or outreach to non-traditional sectors <i>(up to 10 points)</i>	
10. Degree to which applicant clearly defines evaluation measures and utilization of evaluation findings during and at the completion of the project <i>(up to 10 points)</i>	
TOTAL POINTS (Max 100 points)	